IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.3187 OF 2005

Ashok Ramnath Bulkunde. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
Gokhale Education Society & Ors. ...Respondents.
....
Mr. Anilkumar Patil for the Petitioner.
Mr.C. R. Sonawane, AGP for Respondent Nos.3, 5 and 7.
.....

CORAM : A.P. SHAH AND
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, JJ.

August 5, 2005.

P.C.:

Heard Advocate. The Petitioner has been working since 1994 in Respondent No.2 Ashram Shala, run and conducted by Respondent No.1 Institution. Initially, the appointment of the Petitioner was approved as Untrained Teacher. Thereafter, by an order dated 13th June 1997, approval was granted by the Education Officer to the Petitioner as a Trained Teacher. In June 1999, however, the payment of salary of the Petitioner was stopped. The Petitioner, therefore, filed Writ Petition No.1651 of 2001 in this Court. This petition was disposed of with the direction to the Education Officer to look into the grievance of the Petitioner regarding non-grant of the approval. In the meantime, the

Petitioner's services were approved and were regularized with effect from 13th June 2003 as Trained Primary Teacher vide order dated 8th October 2003.

The short grievance of the Petitioner is that though the Petitioner's services are regularised and continued and he has been accepted as a permanent employee, various consequential benefits have not been granted to the Petitioner which are as under:

-(i) The Petitioner has not been given increments from 13th June 1998.

-(ii) Even though the Petitioner worked between 13-6-1999 to 10-2-2000, 13-6-2001 to 1-8-2001 and 13-6-2002 to 12-6-2003, the Petitioner's services are not approved.

-(iii) The pay fixation in the Service book is not done.

Mr.Patil submitted that though the Petitioner had made a

representation dated 4th April 2004 to Respondent No.3, and a copy was forwarded to the Commissioner, Tribal Development, Nasik Region, Respondent No.6, the representation is, however, not considered by them. The only submission of Mr.Patil is to direct Respondent No.6 to decide the representation by affording hearing to the Petitioner. We direct Respondent No. 6 to grant personal hearing to the Petitioner as well as to the management and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from today.

Petition is accordingly disposed of.

.....